



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/754,014	01/03/2001	Jeff Nordstrom	260/056	2763

34055 7590 06/16/2003

PERKINS COIE LLP
POST OFFICE BOX 1208
SEATTLE, WA 98111-1208

EXAMINER

SULLIVAN, DANIEL M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1636

17

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------------------------	-------------	---	---------------------

EXAMINER

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------


17

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The supplemental Amendment Under 37 C.F.R. 1.111 filed 9 April 2003 is not fully responsive to the Office Action mailed 31 July 2002. In the Office Action, and again in an interview 7 March 2003, Applicant was informed that all nucleic acid sequences of 10 or more nucleotides set forth in the specification and claims must be accompanied by a sequence identifier number (SEQ ID NO) which refers back to the sequence listing. Specifically, newly added claims 56-61, 63 and 64 contain sequences of 10 nucleotides or more that are not identified by SEQ ID NO. Applicant is given a 1 month period to respond to this communication.



**JAMES KETTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/754,014

Applicant(s)

NORDSTROM ET AL.

Examiner

Daniel M Sullivan

Art Unit

1636

All Participants:

(1) Daniel M Sullivan, Examiner.

(2) James Zhu, Applicant's representative.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 March 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was informed that the amendment filed 7 February 2003 was not fully responsive to the Office Action because it did not fully address the objection to the specification on the grounds that sequence was set forth in the specification without accompanying SEQ ID NO..